

# Intramolecular Nicholas Reactions in the Synthesis of Dibenzocycloheptanes. Synthesis of Allocolchicine NSC 51046 and Analogues and the Formal Synthesis of (-)-Allocolchicine

Sinisa Djurdjevic,<sup>†,‡</sup> Fei Yang,<sup>†</sup> and James R. Green<sup>\*,†</sup>

<sup>†</sup>Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Windsor, Windsor, ON N9B 3P4, Canada, and <sup>‡</sup>St. Clair College of Applied Arts and Technology, Windsor, ON N9A 6S4, Canada

jgreen@uwindsor.ca

Received October 3, 2010



The preparation of dibenzocycloheptyne- $Co_2(CO)_6$  complexes by intramolecular Nicholas reactions of biaryl-2-propargyl alcohol- $Co_2(CO)_6$  derivatives is described. Reductive decomplexation of the dibenzocycloheptyne- $Co_2(CO)_6$  complexes affords the corresponding dibenzocycloheptenes, individual members of which have been employed in a formal total synthesis of (–)-allocolchicine, the preparation of 6,7-dihydro-3,4,9,10,11-pentamethoxy-5*H*-dibenzo[*a*,*c*]cyclohepten-5-one, and the enantioselective total syntheses of NSC 51046 and its 3,8,9,10-tetramethoxy regioisomer.

## Introduction

The allocolchicines are a group of compounds containing a tricyclic 6,7,6-system with a highly oxygen-substituted A ring. Individual members of this group, including (–)-allocolchcine (1), *N*-acetylcolchicinol methyl ether (NSC 51046, **2**), *N*-acetylcolchicinol (**3**), and its dihydrogenphosphate (ZD 6126, **4**) have gained considerable attention by virtue of having been found to be active against a number of cancer cell lines.<sup>1</sup> These act by inhibiting tubulin assembly and polymerization, therefore arresting cell mitosis. A number

DOI: 10.1021/jo101953n © 2010 American Chemical Society Published on Web 11/08/2010

of additional naturally occurring allocolchicines, including (-)-androbiphenyline (4), (-)-colchibiphenyline (5), (-)-jerusalemine (6), (-)-salimine (7), and (-)-suhailamine, have also been isolated;<sup>2</sup> the latter two of these have undergone structural revision or are structurally in question (Figure 1).<sup>3</sup>

Synthetic access to the allocolchicines historically has been based on oxidation of colchicine itself,<sup>4</sup> although racemic syntheses or those involving resolution are known.<sup>3,5</sup> More recently, the activity of these compounds has stimulated an interest in the enantioselective synthesis of allocolchicines. Initiated by Wulff's Diels–Alder based synthesis of (–)-allocolchicine itself,<sup>6</sup> members of this class of compounds

<sup>(1) (</sup>a) Pérez-Ramírez, B.; Gorbunoff, M. J.; Timasheff, S. N. *Biochemistry* **1998**, *37*, 1646–1661. (b) Guan, J.; Zhu, X.; Brossi, A.; Tachibana, Y.; Bastow, K. F.; Verdier-Pinard, P.; Hamel, E.; McPhail, A. T.; Lee, K. *Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun.* **1999**, *64*, 217–228. (c) Büttner, F.; Bergemann, S.; Guénard, D.; Gust, R.; Seitz, G.; Thoret, S. *Biogre. Med. Chem.* **2005**, *13*, 3497–3511. (d) Nakagawa-Goto, K.; Jung, M. K.; Hamel, E.; W. C.-C.; Bastow, K. F.; Brossi, A.; Ohta, S.; Lee, K.-H. *Heterocycles* **2005**, *65*, 541–550.

 <sup>(2) (</sup>a) Tojo, E.; Abu Zarga, M. H.; Freyer, A. J.; Shamma, M. J. Nat. Prod. 1989, 52, 1163–1166. (b) Al-Tel, T. H.; Abu Zarga, M. H.; Sabri, S. S.; Freyer, A. J.; Shamma, M. J. Nat. Prod. 1990, 53, 623–629. (c) Abu Zarga, M. H.; Sabri, S.; Al-Tel, T. H.; Atta-ur-Rahman; Shah, Z.; Feroz, M. J. Nat. Prod. 1991, 54, 936–940. (d) See also: Yusupov, M. K.; Sadykov, A. S. J. Gen. Chem. USSR (Engl. Transl.) 1964, 34, 1686–1688; Zh. Obshch. Khim. 1964, 34, 1677–1680. (e) Baudoin, O.; Guéritte, R. In Studies in Natural Products Chemistry; Atta-ur-Rahman, Ed.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, 2003; Vol. 29, pp 355–417.

<sup>(3)</sup> Banwell, M. G.; Fam, M.-A.; Gable, R. W.; Hamel, E. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1994, 2647–2649.

<sup>(4) (</sup>a) Iorio, M. A. Heterocycles **1984**, 22, 2207–2211. (b) Brecht, R.; Haenel, F.; Seitz, G. Liebigs Ann./Receuil **1997**, 2275–2279. (c) Diler, U.; Franz, B.; Roettele, H.; Schroeder, G.; Herges, R. J. Prakt. Chem./Chem.-Zeit. **1998**, 340, 468–471. (d) Bergemann, S.; Brecht, R.; Büttner, F.; Guénard, D.; Gust, R.; Seitz, G.; Stubbs, M. T.; Thoret, S. Bioorg. Med. Chem. **2003**, 11, 1269–1281.

<sup>(5) (</sup>a) Cook, J. W.; Jack, J.; Loudon, J. D.; Buchanan, G. L.; Macmillan, J. J. Chem. Soc. 1951, 1397–1403. (b) Sawyer, J. S.; Macdonald, T. L. Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 4839–4842. (c) Boyé, O.; Brossi, A.; Yeh, H. J. C.; Hamel, E.; Wegrzynski, B.; Toome, V. Can. J. Chem. 1992, 70, 1237–1249. (6) Vorogushin, A. V.; Predeus, A. V.; Wulff, W. D.; Hansen, H.-J. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 5826–5831.



FIGURE 1. Common allocolchicines.

have seen synthesis by way of enyne metathesis/Diels-Alder reactions ((–)-*N*-acetylcolchicine analogues),<sup>7</sup> oxidative coupling and copper-mediated cross-coupling ((–)-*N*-acetylallocolchicinol),<sup>8</sup> C–H activation reactions ((–)-allocolchicine formal synthesis),<sup>9</sup> and aldol condensation chemistry ((–)-*N*-acetylallocolchicinol).<sup>8b,10</sup> In addition, a recent siloxane coupling/ring expansion reaction chemistry approach to ( $\pm$ )-NSC 51046 has been reported.<sup>11</sup>

The application of alkyne- $Co_2(CO)_6$  complexes in the synthesis of seven-membered ring compounds has been demonstrated by our group<sup>12</sup> and by other groups,<sup>13</sup> most often based on Nicholas reaction chemistry. The reactions are suited to seven-membered ring synthesis because complexation of alkynes to  $Co_2(CO)_6$  induces a change in bond angle to ca. 140°,<sup>14</sup> in that  $S_N I'$  reactivity on the cationic propargyldicobalt complex to give a five-membered ring system does not occur, and since the electrophilicity of these cations is such that arenes substituted with electron-donating

### SCHEME 1. Suzuki-Miyaura Coupling Reactions

groups are sufficiently nucleophilic for facile reaction.<sup>15</sup> In particular, given the propensity of propargyldicobalt cations for reaction with electron-rich arenes and the demonstrated ability of (Z)-arylalkene substituted propargyl acetate complexes to react to form benzocycloheptyne-Co<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>6</sub> complexes,<sup>12d</sup> we considered the potential applicability of intramolecular Nicholas reaction chemistry to dibenzocycloheptanes and consequently allocolchicines to be highly promising. We have reported on the viability of this approach in preliminary form and now describe this chemistry in complete fashion.<sup>16</sup>

## **Results and Discussion**

The general outline of the access to the 6,7,6-system was envisioned to occur by construction of the  $Co_2(CO)_6$  complexes of biaryl-2-propargyl alcohol derivatives (8), which would deliver dibenzocycloheptyne- $Co_2(CO)_6$  complexes (9) in the presence of a Lewis acid. The former were prepared in most instances by Suzuki-Miyaura coupling reactions of arylboronic acids (10) with bromobenzaldehydes (11) according the conditions employed by Fürstner,17 giving biaryl-2-carboxaldehydes (12a-f) in good yield (Scheme 1, Table 1). These aldehydes were subjected to the Corey-Fuchs protocol, with trapping of the resulting acetylide by paraformaldehyde (Scheme 2). The resultant propargyl alcohols (13a-f) were formed in fair to good yields (56-80%)except in the case of thienyl substituted 13d (40% yield); in this case a significant amount of carbene insertion product 14 (53%) was formed competitively. Acetylation of 13a-f under standard conditions and complexation with Co<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>8</sub> then afforded 8a-f in good to excellent yields.

Two additional substrates were prepared by procedures other than the standard one. Unsubstituted **8g** was obtained by way of Sonogashira reaction between 2-iodobiphenyl and

TABLE I. TICPATALION OF OA g	TABLE 1.	Preparation of	$8a-g^a$
------------------------------	----------	----------------	----------

10	11	12	13	8
10a	11a	12a (85)	<b>13a</b> (80)	<b>8a</b> (86)
10a	11b	12b (92)	13b (78)	<b>8b</b> (84)
10b	11a	12c (79)	13c (57)	8c (91)
10c	11a	<b>12d</b> (81)	<b>13d</b> (40)	8d (77)
10d	11a	12e (76)	13e (61)	<b>8e</b> (84)
10a	11c	<b>12f</b> (81)	<b>13f</b> (56)	<b>8f</b> (98)
"Yields a	are in parer	theses.		



8242 J. Org. Chem. Vol. 75, No. 23, 2010

# SCHEME 2. Preparation of Biaryl-2-propargyl Acetate-Co<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>6</sub> Complexes (8)



propargyl alcohol to give 13g (87% yield) (Scheme 3), which was in turn subjected to acetylation and complexation with  $Co_2(CO)_8$  under conditions analogous to 13a-f, affording 8g in 86% yield.

Carbomethoxy-substituted 8h, the propargyl ether-Co<sub>2</sub>- $(CO)_6$  complex envisioned as the precursor to allocolchicine itself, required a modified approach for its preparation. In this case, methyl 4-bromo-3-iodobenzoate, prepared by conventional esterification of the corresponding acid,<sup>18</sup> was subjected to Sonogashira reaction with propargyl methyl ether to afford 15 in 92% yield (Scheme 4). The Suzuki-Miyaura reaction of this halide with 2,3,4-trimethoxyboronic acid was somewhat problematic, as conventional conditions resulted in predominant boronic acid hydrolysis and recovery of substantial 15, with only a small amount of 16

(8) (a) Wu, T. R.; Chong, J. M Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 15–18. (b) Besong, G.; Jarowicki, K.; Kocienski, P. J.; Sliwinski, E.; Boyle, F. T. Org. Biomol. Chem. **2006**, *4*, 2193–2207. (c) Broady, S. D.; Golden, M. D.; Leonard, J.; Muir, J. C.; Maudet, M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2007**, *48*, 4627–4630.

(9) Leblanc, M.; Fagnou, K. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 921–9050.
 (10) Besong, G.; Billen, D.; Dager, I.; Kocienski, P.; Sliwinski, E.; Tai,

 L. R.; Boyle, F. T. Tetrahedron 2008, 64, 4700–4710.
 (11) Seganish, W. M.; DeShong, P. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 3951–3954.
 (12) (a) Green, J. R. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 6053–6062. (b) Amiralaei, S.; Green, J. R. *Chem. Commun.* **2008**, 4971–4973. (c) Ding, Y.; Green, J. R. *Synlett* **2005**, 271–274. (d) Green, J. R. *Synlett* **2001**, 353–356. (e) Lu, Y.; Green, J. R. *Synlett* **2001**, 353–356. (e) Lu, Y.; Green, J. R. *Synlett* **2001**, 243–247. (f) Patel, M. M.; Green, J. R. *Chem.* Commun. 1999, 509-510. (g) Green, J. R. Chem. Commun. 1998, 1751-1752.

(13) (a) Schreiber, S. L.; Sammakia, T.; Crowe, W. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 3128-3130. (b) Iwasawa, N.; Satoh, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, *J21*, 7951–7952. (c) Tanino, K.; Shimizu, T.; Miyama, M.; Kuwajima, I. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122*, 6116–6117. (d) Iwasawa, N.; Sakurada, F.; Iwamoto, M. Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 871-873. (e) Tanino, K.; Kondo, F.; Shimizu, T.; Miyashita, M. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 2217–2219. (f) Carbery, D. R.; Reignier, S.; Myatt, J. W.; Miller, N. D.; Harrity, J. P. A. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 2584-2587. (g) Tanino, K.; Onuki, K.; Asano, K.; Miyashita, M.; Nakamura, T.; Takahashi, Y.; Kuwajima, I. J. Am. Chem. *Soc.* **200**, *125*, 1498–1500. (h) Young, D. G. J.; Burlison, J. A.; Peters, U. *J. Org. Chem.* **2003**, *68*, 3494–3497. (i) Golovko, L. J.; Hope-Weeks, M. J.; Mays, M. J.; McPartlin, M.; Sloan, A. M.; Woods, A. D. New. J. Chem. 2004, 28, 527-534. (j) Iwasawa, N.; Inaba, K.; Nakayama, S.; Aoki, M. Angew. *Chem., Int. Ed.* **2005**, *44*, 7447–7450. (k) Olier, C.; Gastaldi, S.; Christie, S. D. R.; Bertrand, M. P. *Synlett* **2007**, 423–426. (l) Kennichi; Takaya, J.; Iwasawa, N. Chem. Lett. 2007, 36, 474-475. (m) For the corresponding ethers, see: Baba, T.; Huang, G.; Isobe, M. Tetrahedron 2003, 59, 6851-6872. and references therein. (m) For the corresponding amides, see: Closser. K. D.; Quintal, M. M.; Shea, K. M. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 74, 2680-2688.

(14) Went, M. J. Adv. Organomet. Chem. 1997, 41, 69-125

(15) Kuhn, O.; Rau, D.; Mayr, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 900-907. (16) For a preliminary report, see: Djurdjevic, S.; Green, J. R. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 5505-5508

(17) Mamane, V.; Hannen, P.; Fürstner, A. Chem.-Eur. J. 2004, 10, 4556-4575

(18) Kraszkiewicz, L.; Sosnowski, M.; Skulski, L. Synthesis 2006, 1195-1199

SCHEME 3. Formation of 8g







isolated (27% yield). Use of Pd<sub>2</sub>(dba)<sub>3</sub> with PCy<sub>3</sub>, however, gave 16 in acceptable yield (53%, 77% based on recovered starting material [brsm]), with recovery of 15(31%). While most of the material was carried forward using this protocol, it was found ultimately that use of the PEPPSI-iPr catalyst enabled formation of 16 in 79% yield.<sup>19</sup> Formation of 8h was accomplished from 16 in a straightforward manner (83% yield) with  $Co_2(CO)_8$ .

<sup>(7) (</sup>a) Boyer, F.-D; Hanna, I. Org. Lett. 2009, 9, 715-718. (b) Boyer, F.-D; Hanna, I. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 4938–4948. (c) Boer, F.-D.; Dubois, J.; Thoret, S.; Dau, M.-E. T. H.; Hanna, I. Bioorg. Chem. 2010, 38, 149-158.

# TABLE 2. Intramolecular Nicholas Reactions of 8



With the Nicholas reaction precursors in hand, attention was turned to investigation of the cyclizations. Under conditions developed previously for benzocycloheptyne ringclosure reactions, 8a (0.005 M in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) underwent reaction in the presence of BF<sub>3</sub>-OEt<sub>2</sub> (3 equiv), giving dibenzocycloheptyne 9a over 2.5 h (56% yield) (Table 2, entry 1). As a small amount of decomposition was evident chromatographically during this process, and with the belief that this could be due to the acid liberated during the substitution process, the reaction was conducted with the addition of 1.5 equiv of *i*-Pr<sub>2</sub>NEt. Although the reaction occurred somewhat more slowly (6 h) (entry 2), 9a could be isolated in improved yield (71%). These conditions (3 equiv of BF<sub>3</sub>-OEt<sub>2</sub>, 1.5 equiv of *i*-Pr<sub>2</sub>NEt, 0.005-0.01 M) were applied to 8b-8h (Table 2) and afforded fair to excellent yields of 9b-h. While there was some variation in required reaction time (4.5-16 h) and substrate, there was no particular correlation between reaction time and substitution pattern of the arene behaving as nucleophile. In the case of

trimethoxy-substituted 8b, TLC analysis suggested the onset of some decomposition without complete conversion at 16 h. so that the reaction was terminated at this point and small amount of 8b (10%) could be recovered in addition to the isolated 9b (59% yield) (entry 3). 3-Thienyl-substituted 8d underwent competitive cyclization at C-2' and C-4', affording 9d and 9d' as a regioisomeric mixture (82%, 45:55)9d:9d') (entry 5). It is also worthy of note that  $8c \rightarrow 9c$ (entry 4) and  $8g \rightarrow 9g$  (entry 8) proceeded uneventfully, as the less electron-rich arene nucleophiles would be of borderline reactivity and insufficient reactivity, respectively, for participation in intermolecular Nicholas reactions.<sup>15</sup> Evidence of restricted rotation about the aryl-aryl bond was present for several of the cyclization products, as all dibenzocycloheptyne- $Co_2(CO)_6$  complexes bearing an additional substituent ortho to the biaryl gave diastereotopic CH<sub>2</sub>'s for the propargylic hydrogen atoms in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra (9a,b,f,g). In addition, those bearing substitutents ortho to the cycloheptyne either gave a diastereotopic  $CH_2$  (9c) or one right at coalescence (9h).

Removal of the  $Co_2(CO)_6$  fragment for use in synthesis requires concomitant conversion of the alkyne function into one compatible with the seven-membered ring.<sup>20,21</sup> The most commonly employed reagent for this purpose, Bu<sub>3</sub>SnH, has caused some isomerization in related benzocycloheptyne cases;12c consequently we chose to apply a modification of Isobe's hydrosilylation protocol<sup>20</sup> that would afford the alkene. Addition of triethylsilane to the dibenzocycloheptyne complexes 9 in the presence of bis(trimethylsilylacetylene) (BTMSE) gave a regioisomeric mixture of silylated cycloheptenes, which were not isolated but subjected to in situ desilylation with trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) to give the dibenzocycloheptenes 17. These dibenzocycloheptenes (17a,e,f,h) were isolated in good to excellent yields and with no evidence of double bond isomerization during the reductive decomplexation process (Table 3).

Formal Synthesis of (–)-Allocolchicine. Since several of the existing syntheses of allocolchicines employ the dibenzocycloheptanones (18) as critical intermediates, conversion of dibenzocycloheptenes 17 to 18 was considered to be the most prudent approach toward their synthesis. Hydroboration– oxidation of 17h with  $BH_3$ -THF/H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, with further oxidation of the intermediate alcohol using PDC, afforded ketone 18h in good yield (81%). Dibenzocycloheptanone 18h has been converted to (–)-allocolchine by Wulff,<sup>6</sup> and as such this represents a formal synthesis of this natural product.

Similarly, treatment of **17f** by  $BH_3$ -THF/H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> followed by oxidation with PDC afforded **18f** (67% yield). Dibenzocycloheptanone **18f** is a degradation product of (–)-androbiphenyline that has been prepared previously by Seitz,<sup>22</sup> and found to be equally potent as (–)-androbiphenyline in inhibition of tubulin assembly.

Synthesis of (-)-*N*-Acetylcolchicine *O*-Methyl Ether (NSC 51046) and Analogue. Conversion of 17a to ketone 18a was accomplished by hydroboration-oxidation with further

<sup>(19)</sup> O'Brien, C. J.; Kantchev, E. A. B.; Valente, C.; Hadei, N.; Chass, G. A.; Lough, A.; Hopkinson, A. C.; Organ, M. G. *Chem.*—*Eur. J.* **2006**, *12*, 4743–4748.

<sup>(20)</sup> For other reductive decomplexation reactions, see: (a) Hosokawa, S.; Isobe, M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1998**, *39*, 2609–2612. (b) Takai, S.; Ploypradith, P.; Hamajima, A.; Kira, K.; Isobe, M. *Synlett* **2002**, 588–592. (c) Isobe, M.; Yenjai, C.; Tanaka, S. *Synlett* **1994**, 916–918.

<sup>(21)</sup> Kira, K.; Tanda, H.; Hamajima, A.; Baba, T.; Takai, S.; Isobe, M. *Tetrahedron* **2002**, *58*, 6485–6492.

<sup>(22)</sup> Brecht, R.; Seitz, G.; Guenard, D.; Thoret, S. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 2000, *8*, 557–562.

# TABLE 3. Conversion of 9 to Dibenzocycloheptanones 18



**<sup>17</sup>a, 18a**  $R^1$  = OMe,  $R^2$  = H,  $R^3$  = OMe,  $R^4$  = H **17e, 18e**  $R^1$  = OMe,  $R^2$  = H,  $R^3$  = H,  $R^4$  = OMe **17f, 18f**  $R^1$  = OMe,  $R^2$  = OMe,  $R^3$  = OMe,  $R^4$  = H **17g, 18g**,  $R^1$  = CO<sub>2</sub>Me,  $R^2$  = H,  $R^3$  = OMe,  $R^4$  = H

9	17	yield (%)	18	yield (%)
9a	17a	97	18a	80 <sup>a</sup>
9e	17e	94	18e	68
9f	17f	90	18f	67
9h	17h	79	18h	81
<sup>a</sup> Oxi	dation under S	Swern conditions (ox	alyl chloride-I	DMSO, Et <sub>3</sub> N).

oxidation under Swern conditions (DMSO-oxalyl chloride, Et<sub>3</sub>N), giving the ketone in 80% yield. Adopting the approach of Wulff's group toward (–)-allocolchicine, the reduction of ketone **18a** using the LiBH<sub>4</sub>/tartrate derived boronate ester (TARB-NO<sub>2</sub>) protocol of Singaram<sup>23</sup> gave alcohol **19a** in excellent yield and enantioselectivity (96% yield, 95% ee) (Scheme 5). Substitution of azide for the alcohol function by way of zinc azide/diisoproyl azodicarboxylate (DIAD)<sup>24</sup> afforded **20a** (64%), while azide reduction (H<sub>2</sub>, Lindlar catalyst) of **20a** and acetylation gave NSC 51046 (**2**) in 88% (93% ee), which was spectroscopically identical to literature report.<sup>11</sup> Recrystallization of **2** gave this compound in >99% ee. To our knowledge this is the first asymmetric synthesis of **2**.

Similarly, dibenzocycloheptene **17e** was converted to an isomeric 3,8,9,10-tetramethoxyallocolchicine (**21**). Hydroboration—oxidation of **17e** and further oxidation with PDC afforded dibenzocycloheptanone **18e** in 68% yield. LiBH<sub>4</sub>/ TARB-NO<sub>2</sub> based reduction afforded the highly enantiomerically enriched alcohol **19e** (98% yield, 98% ee) provided an extended period of substrate/TARB-NO<sub>2</sub> mixing and slow LiBH<sub>4</sub> addition protocol was followed.<sup>25</sup> Zinc azide based substitution of the alcohol afforded **20e** without incident (77% yield), while reduction and acetylation gave **21** in 79% yield (95% ee); once again, a single recrystallization enriched this compound to >99% ee. To our knowledge, this is the first example of an allocolchicine with an 8,9,10-oxygenated A ring. SCHEME 5. Completion of Enantioselective Synthesis of NSC 51046 and Its 3,8,9,10-Tetramethoxy Isomer



In summary, intramolecular Nicholas reactions have proven to be effective in the synthesis of dibenzocycloheptyne- $Co_2(CO)_6$  complexes. Ready decomplexation to the dibenzocycloheptenes allows application of this methodology to the synthesis of allocolchicines or their derivatives, including tubulin-inhibiting ketone 6,7-dihydro-3,4,9,10,11-pentamethoxy-5*H*-dibenzo[*a*,*c*]cyclohepten-5-one (**18f**), a formal total synthesis of (–)-allocolchicine (**1**), the enantioselective total synthesis of *N*-acetylallocolchinol *O*-methyl ether (NSC 51046, **2**), and of the 3,8,9,10- tetramethoxy isomer of NSC 51046 (**21**).

### **Experimental Section**

**General Methods.** All reaction solvents were used after passage through a solvent purification system. Commercial BF<sub>3</sub>-OEt<sub>2</sub> was distilled and stored under nitrogen. All reactions were conducted under a nitrogen atmosphere unless otherwise noted. Flash chromatography was performed as described by Still using silica gel 60 (230–400 mesh).<sup>26</sup> 2-Bromo-5-methoxybenzaldehyde<sup>27</sup> and 6-bromo-2,3-dimethoxybenzaldehyde<sup>28</sup> were prepared by literature methods and were >95% purity as determined by <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectroscopy. All new compounds were >95% purity as determined by <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectroscopy. NMR spectra were run at 500 or 300 MHz for <sup>1</sup>H and 125 or 75 MHz for <sup>13</sup>C in CDCl<sub>3</sub>; chemical shifts are given in ppm and coupling constants (*J*) are given in Hz. High resolution mass spectra were run by time-of-flight mass spectroscopy, in EI mode, at 70 eV.

2',3',4,4'-Tetramethoxy[1,1'-biphenyl]-2-carboxaldehyde (12a). Prepared according to the method of Fürstner,<sup>17</sup> 11a (0.3517 g, 1.16 mmol) afforded 7a (0.4202, 85%): mp 102–3 °C (hexanes); lit.<sup>17</sup> 102–3 °C. This compound was >95% purity as determined by <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectroscopy.

2',3',4'-**Trimethoxy**[1,1'-biphenyl]-2-carboxaldehyde (12b). Prepared according to the method of Fürstner,<sup>17</sup> 11b (0.2278 g, 1.23 mmol) affording 12b (0.3706 g, 92%): mp 105–5.5 °C (hexanes); lit.<sup>17</sup> 98–99 °C. This compound was > 95% purity as determined by <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectroscopy.

**4-Methoxy-3',5'-dimethyl**[**1,1'-biphenyl**]**-2-carboxaldehyde** (**12c**). Prepared as adapted from the method of Fürstner,<sup>17</sup> employing **11a** (0.9593 g, 4.46 mmol) and (3,5-dimethylphenyl)boronic acid (**6b**)

<sup>(23) (</sup>a) Suri, J. T.; Vu, T.; Hernandez, A.; Congdon, J.; Singaram, B. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2002**, *43*, 3649–3652. (b) Cordes, D. B.; Nguyen, T. M.; Kwong, T. J.; Suri, J. T.; Luibrand, R. T.; Singaram, B. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2005**, 5289–5295.

<sup>(24)</sup> Viaud, M. C.; Rollin, P. Synthesis 1990, 130-132.

<sup>(25)</sup> Rapid addition of LiBH<sub>4</sub> afforded 19b in ca. 50% ee.

<sup>(26)</sup> Still, W. C.; M. Kahn, M.; Mitra, A. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 2923–2925.

<sup>(27)</sup> Bianchi, D. A.; Cipulli, M. A.; Kaufman, T. S. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2003**, 4731–4736.

<sup>(28)</sup> Kessar, S. V.; Gupta, V. P.; Balakrishnan, P.; Sawal, K. K.; Mohammad, T.; Dutt, M. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 53, 1708–1713.

(1.3423 g, 8.96 mmol) to give **12c** (0.8472 g, 79% yield), following flash chromatographic purification (15:1 petroleum ether/Et<sub>2</sub>O), as a colorless viscous oil which solidified upon standing: mp 71–2 °C; IR (KBr)  $\nu_{max}$  3006, 2917, 1688, 1604 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup> H NMR  $\delta$  9.97 (s, 1H), 7.52 (d, J=2.8, 1H), 7.37 (d, J=8.5, 1H), 7.19 (dd, J=8.5, 2.8, 1H), 7.06 (br s, 1H), 6.97 (br s, 2H), 3.90 (s, 3H), 2.39 (s, 6H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR 192.4, 159.0, 139.4, 137.9, 137.4, 134.5, 131.9, 129.3, 128.1, 121.2, 109.7, 55.5, 21.2; MS *m/e* 240 (M<sup>+</sup>); HRMS *m/e* for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>16</sub>O<sub>2</sub> calcd 240.1150 (M<sup>+</sup>), found 240.1140.

**5-Methoxy-2-(3-thienyl)benzaldehyde (12d).** Prepared as adapted from the method of Fürstner, <sup>17</sup> employing **11a** (0.2301 g, 1.07 mmol) and 3-thienylboronic acid (**10c**) (0.2003 g, 1.56 mmol) to give **12d** (0.1900 g, 81% yield) following flash chromatographic purification (15:1 petroleum ether/Et<sub>2</sub>O): mp 68–69 °C (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); IR (KBr)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  3100, 2845, 1684 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup> H NMR  $\delta$  10.08 (s, 1H), 7.50 (d, *J*=2.7, 1H), 7.44 (dd, *J*=4.8, 3.0, 1H), 7.41 (d, *J*=8.5, 1H), 7.24 (dd, *J*=3.0, 0.9, 1H), 7.19 (dd, *J*=8.5, 2.7, 1H), 7.17 (dd, *J*=4.8, 0.9, 1H), 3.90 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR 192.2, 159.0, 137.9, 134.7, 133.5, 131.8, 129.4, 126.1, 124.5, 121.5, 109.7, 55.5; MS *m/e* 218 (M<sup>+</sup>); HRMS *m/e* for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>10</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S calcd 218.0402 (M<sup>+</sup>), found 218.0399.

3',4,4',5'-Tetramethoxy[1,1'-biphenyl]-2-carboxaldehyde (12e). Prepared according to the method of Fürstner<sup>17</sup> employing 11a (0.7000 g, 3.26 mmol) and 3,4,5-trimethoxyphenylboronic acid (10d) (1.0877 g, 4.89 mmol) to give 12e (0.7472 g, 76% yield) following flash chromatographic purification (3:1 petroleum ether/Et<sub>2</sub>O), as a colorless solid: mp 134–136 °C; IR (KBr) 2931, 1687, 1604 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  9.96 (s, 1H), 7.47 (d, *J* = 2.8, 1H), 7.37 (d, *J* = 8.5, 1H), 7.17 (dd, *J* = 8.5, 2.8, 1H), 6.52 (s, 2H), 3.89 (s, 3H), 3.88 (s, 3H), 3.87 (s, 6H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR 192.2, 159.1, 152.9, 139.0, 137.7, 134.5, 133.1, 131.7, 121.2, 109.8, 107.5, 60.9, 56.2, 55.5; MS *m/e* (M<sup>+</sup>) 302; HRMS *m/e* for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>18</sub>O<sub>5</sub> calcd 302.1154, found 302.1139.

**2'**,**3**,**3'**,**4**,**4'**-**Pentamethoxy**[**1**,**1'**-**bipheny**]**-2-carboxaldehyde** (**12f**). Prepared according to the method of Fürstner<sup>17</sup> employing **11c** (0.7937 g, 3.24 mmol) and 2,3,4-trimethoxyphenylboronic acid (**10a**) (1.0993 g, 5.18 mmol) to give **12f** (0.8712 g, 81% yield) following flash chromatographic purification (4:1 petroleum ether/ EtOAc): mp 117–119 °C; IR (KBr)  $\nu_{max}$  2938, 1699, 1593 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  10.15 (s, 1H), 7.12 (d, *J*=8.4, 1H), 7.01 (d, *J*=8.4, 1H), 6.87 (d, *J*=8.5, 1H), 6.71 (d, *J*=8.5, 1H), 3.96 (s, 3H), 3.93 (s, 3H), 3.890 (s, 3H), 3.887 (s, 3H), 3.58 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR 191.1, 153.2, 152.0, 150.5, 149.9, 141.7, 131.7, 129.0, 126.6, 125.7, 124.5, 115.9, 106.9, 61.7, 60.7, 60.3, 55.74, 55.68; MS *m/e* 332 (M<sup>+</sup>); HRMS for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>20</sub>O<sub>6</sub> (M<sup>+</sup>) calcd 332.1260, found 332.1275.

3-(2',3',4,4'-Tetramethoxybiphenyl-2-yl)-2-propyn-1-ol (13a). General Procedure A. To a solution of 12a (0.3378 g, 1.12 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (10 mL) were added CBr<sub>4</sub> (0.556 g, 1.68 mmol) and PPh<sub>3</sub> (1.172 g, 4.47 mmol). After 4 h of stirring, petroleum ether (10 mL) and iodomethane (0.4 mL) were added, and the mixture was allowed to stir for 8 h. The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure, and the residue filtered through silica gel, using 1:1 petroleum ether/Et<sub>2</sub>O as solvent). The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure to give the crude dibromide, which was used without further purification. The dibromide was dissolved in THF (20 mL) and cooled to -78 °C. Butyllithium (1.08 mL of a 2.58 M solution in hexanes, 2.79 mmol) was added, and stirring was continued for 5 h. A suspension of paraformaldehyde (0.4 g, excess) in THF (5 mL) was added, and the reaction was stirred for 8 h as the mixture gradually warmed to room temperature. A conventional extractive workup followed by flash chromatography (1:2 petroleum ether/ Et<sub>2</sub>O) gave **13a** (0.2919 g, 80%), as a viscous oil: IR (KBr)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  3455 br, 2937, 2229 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup> H NMR  $\delta$  7.22 (d, J=8.6, 1H), 7.05 (d, J = 2.7, 1H), 6.96 (d, J = 8.5, 1H), 6.91 (dd, J = 8.6, 2.7, 1H), 6.68 (dd, J=8.5, 1H), 4.29 (s, 2H), 3.90 (s, 3H), 3.88 (s, 3H), 3.82 (s, 3H), 3.61 (s, 3H), 2.30 (br, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR 158.2, 153.1, 151.6, 142.0, 133.3, 131.3, 127.1, 125.6, 123.2, 116.8, 114.8, 106.7, 89.6, 85.2, 61.0, 60.9, 55.9, 55.3, 51.4; MS m/e 328 (M<sup>+</sup>); HRMS m/e for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>20</sub>O<sub>5</sub> calcd 328.1311 (M<sup>+</sup>), found 328.1311.

**3-(2',3',4'-Trimethoxybiphenyl-2-yl)-2-propyn-1-ol (13b).** Reaction of aldehyde **12b** (0.1514 g, 0.554 mmol) according to General Procedure A afforded **13b** (0.1293 g, 78% yield) following preparative TLC (1:2 hexanes/Et<sub>2</sub>O), as a viscous oil: IR (KBr)  $\nu_{max}$  3379 br, 2933, 2227 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup> H NMR  $\delta$  7.52 (dd, J=7.7, 1.0, 1H), 7.25–7.37 (m, 3H), 6.98 (d, J=8.5, 1H), 6.71 (d, J=8.6, 1H), 4.29 (s, 2H), 3.91 (s, 3H), 3.63 (s, 3H), 2.07 (br, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR 153.3, 151.5, 142.0, 140.8, 132.3, 130.2, 128.0, 127.5, 126.9, 125.3, 122.4, 106.8, 89.9, 85.2, 61.0, 60.9, 56.0, 51.4; MS *m/e* 298 (M<sup>+</sup>); HRMS *m/e* for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>18</sub>O<sub>4</sub> calcd 298.1205 (M<sup>+</sup>), found 298.1201.

(3-Methoxy-3',5'-dimethylbiphenyl-2-yl)-2-propyn-1-ol (13c). Reaction of aldehyde 12c (0.8472 g, 3.53 mmol) according to General Procedure A afforded 13c (0.5389 g, 57% yield) following flash chromatography (2:1 petroleum ether/Et<sub>2</sub>O) as a viscous oil: IR (KBr)  $\nu_{max}$  3400 br, 2917, 1603 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup> H NMR  $\delta$  7.31 (d, J=8.5, 1H), 7.20 (s, 2H), 7.08 (d, J=2.8, 1H), 7.00 (s, 1H), 6.96 (dd, J=8.5, 2.7, 1H), 4.37 (s, 2H), 3.84 (s, 3H), 2.38 (s, 6H), 1.55 (br, 1H) ; <sup>13</sup>C NMR 158.3, 140.0, 137.3, 136.9, 130.6, 128.7, 127.1, 121.6, 117.4, 115.4, 89.8, 85.6, 55.4, 51.6, 21.3; MS *m/e* 266 (M<sup>+</sup>); HRMS *m/e* for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>18</sub>O<sub>2</sub> calcd 266.1307 (M<sup>+</sup>), found 266.1294.

3-(5-Methoxy-2-(3-thienyl)phenyl)-2-propyn-1-ol (13d) and 7-Methoxynaphtho[2,1-b]thiophene (14). Reaction of aldehyde 12d (0.0876 g, 0.401 mmol) according to General Procedure A gave, in order of elution, 14 (0.0452 g, 53% yield) and 13d (0.0389 g, 40% yield), following preparative TLC (1:1 petroleum ether/Et<sub>2</sub>O). 14 as a colorless solid: mp 118–120 °C; IR (KBr)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  2956, 1621 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  8.26 (d, J = 8.8, 1H), 7.92 (d, J = 5.4, 1H), 7.87 (d, J =8.7, 1H), 7.67 (d, J = 8.7, 1H), 7.58 (d, J = 5.4, 1H), 72.6–7.31 (m, 2H), 3.97 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR 157.2, 136.1, 135.4, 132.2, 125.9, 125.1, 124.5, 124.3, 121.7, 121.2, 118.0, 107.6, 55.4; MS m/e 214 (M<sup>+</sup>); HRMS for  $C_{13}H_{10}O_2S(M^+)$  calcd 214.0452, found 214.0454. 13d as a viscous oil: IR (KBr)  $\nu_{\rm max}$  3384 br, 2928, 2228 cm  $^{-1};$   $^1$  H NMR  $\delta$ 7.54 (dd, J = 3.0, 1.4, 1H), 7.42 (dd, J = 5.0, 1.4, 1H), 7.34 (d, J =8.6, 1H), 7.34 (dd, J = 5.0, 3.0, 1H), 7.06 (d, J = 2.7, 1H), 6.93 (dd, J =8.6, 2.7,1H) 4.46 (d, J = 4.2, 2H), 3.84 (s, 3H), 1.61 (br, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR 158.2, 140.5, 131.2, 130.2, 128.5, 124.7, 122.6, 121.2, 117.7, 115.6, 90.2, 85.7, 55.4, 51.8; MS m/e 244 (M<sup>+</sup>); HRMS m/e for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>12</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S calcd 244.0558 (M<sup>+</sup>), found 244.0550.

**3-(3',4,4',5'-Tetramethoxybiphenyl-2-yl)-2-propyn-1-ol** (13e). Reaction of aldehyde **12e** (0.4674 g, 1.55 mmol) according to General Procedure A gave **13e** (0.3115 g, 61% yield), following flash chromatography (1:1 petroleum ether/Et<sub>2</sub>O), as a viscous oil: IR (KBr) 3500 br, 2935, 2224, 1602 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  7.29 (d, J=8.6, 1H), 7.06 (d, J=2.7, 1H), 6.92 (dd, J=8.6, 2.7, 1H), 6.79 (s, 2H), 4.38 (s, 2H), 3.88 (s, 3H), 3.87 (s, 6H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 2.33 (br s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR 158.1, 152.5, 137.0, 136.1, 135.4, 130.3, 121.3, 117.6, 115.2, 106.4, 90.3, 84.8, 60.7, 56.0, 55.2, 51.2; MS *m/e* (M<sup>+</sup>) 328; HRMS *m/e* for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>20</sub>O<sub>5</sub> calcd 328.1311, found 328.1308.

**3-(2'3,3',4,4'-Pentamethoxybiphenyl-2-yl)-2-propyn-1-ol (13f).** Reaction of aldehyde **12f** (0.8629 g, 2.60 mmol) according to General Procedure A gave **13f** (0.5257 g, 56% yield), flash chromatography (1:1 hexanes/Et<sub>2</sub>O), as a viscous oil: IR (KBr) 3509 br, 2936, 2227, 1591 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  6.96 (d, J=8.5, 1H), 6.91 (d, J=8.6, 1H), 6.88 (d, J=8.5, 1H), 6.64 (d, J=8.6, 1H), 4.28 (br d, J=4.8, 2H), 3.89 (s, 3H), 3.85 (s, 3H), 3.84 (s, 3H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 3.61 (s, 3H), 2.88 (br, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR 152.8, 151.24, 151.17, 149.9, 141.6, 133.9, 126.7, 125.4, 125.3, 117.4, 112.0, 106.4, 94.3, 80.3, 60.7, 60.61, 60.57, 55.6, 51.0; MS *m/e* 358 (M<sup>+</sup>); HRMS *m/e* for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>22</sub>O<sub>6</sub> calcd 358.1416, found 358.1404.

**3-Biphenyl-2-yl-2-propyn-1-ol (13g).** To a solution of 2'-iodobiphenyl (0.10 mL, 0.57 mmol), Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> (10 mg), and CuI (20 mg) in degassed diisopropylamine (5 mL) was added propargyl alcohol (0.15 mL, 2.6 mmol). After 20 h of stirring, the volatiles were removed under reduced pressure, the residue was filtered through silica with Et<sub>2</sub>O, and the volatiles were again removed in vacuo. Preparative TLC in 2:1 hexanes/Et<sub>2</sub>O gave 0.1025 g of **13g** (87% yield): bp 135–140 °C (0.15 Torr) (bulb-to-bulb); IR (KBr)  $\nu_{max}$  3054, 3061, 2925, 2235 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup> H NMR  $\delta$  7.58 (d, J= 7.3, 2H), 7.57 (d, J = 7.9, 1H), 7.44 (apparent t,  $J_{ave}$  = 7.5, 2H), 7.35–7.41 (m, 3H), 7,31 (m, 1H), 4.35 (s, 2H), 1.63 (br s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (40 °C) 144.0, 140.5, 133.1, 129.5, 129.2, 128.6, 127.9, 127.4, 127.0, 121.0, 90.2, 85.4, 51.5; MS *m/e* 208 (M<sup>+</sup>); HRMS *m/e* for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>12</sub>O calcd 208.0888 (M<sup>+</sup>), found 208.0890.

**Methyl 4-Bromo-3-iodobenzoate.** To a solution of 4-bromo-3-iodobenzoic acid (1.3227 g, 3.68 mmol) in methanol (40 mL) was added H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (10 drops). The mixture was heated to reflux for 12 h. Following a conventional extractive workup (Et<sub>2</sub>O) and extraction of the Et<sub>2</sub>O layers with NaOH<sub>(acl)</sub>, the Et<sub>2</sub>O layers were dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Flash chromatography (8:1 petroleum ether/Et<sub>2</sub>O) afforded methyl 4-bromo-3-iodobenzoate (1.2550 g, 91% yield) as a colorless solid: mp 70–71 °C; IR (KBr)  $\nu_{max}$  3087, 1726 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  8.46 (s, 1H), 7.81 (d, *J*=8.2, 1H), 7.66 (d, *J*=8.2, 1H), 3.90 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR 164.8, 141.1, 135.2, 132.5, 130.12, 130.08, 101.0, 52.5; MS *m/e* (M<sup>+</sup>) 340/342; HRMS *m/e* for C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>6</sub>BrIO<sub>2</sub> calcd 339.8596, found 339.8603.

Methyl 4-Bromo-3-(3-methoxyprop-1-ynyl)benzoate (15). To a solution of methyl 4-bromo-3-iodobenzoate (1.255 g, 3.21 mmol) and propargyl methyl ether (0.47 mL, 5.9 mmol) in diisopropylamine (5 mL) were added CuI (0.0404 g, 0.212 mmol) and Pd-(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> (0.070 g, 0.061 mmol). After 12 h of stirring, the mixture was subjected to a conventional extractive workup. Flash chromatography (5:1 petroleum ether/Et<sub>2</sub>O) afforded **15** (0.9599 g, 92% yield) as yellow crystals: mp 42–43 °C; IR (KBr)  $\nu_{max}$  3033, 2953, 1739, 1593 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR δ 8.10 (d, J=2.0, 1H), 7.78 (dd, J=8.4, 2.0, 1H), 7.64 (d, J=8.4, 1H), 4.37 (s, 2H), 3.89 (s, 3H), 3.48 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR 165.6, 134.4, 132.5, 130.6, 130.1, 129.2, 125.2, 90.7, 84.0, 60.2, 57.7, 52.3; MS *m/e* 282/284 (M<sup>+</sup>); HRMS for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>11</sub>BrO<sub>3</sub> calcd 283.9891, found 283.9900.

Methyl 2',3',4'-Trimethoxy-2-(3-methoxyprop-1-ynyl)biphenyl-4-carboxylate (16). A mixture of methyl 4-bromo-3-(3-methoxyprop-1-ynyl)benzoate (15) (0.0712 g, 0.251 mmol), 2,3,4-trimethoxyphenylboronic acid (10a) (0.1333 g, 0.629 mmol), K<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> (0.1590 g, 0.750 mmol), Pd<sub>2</sub>(dba)<sub>3</sub> (0.0046 g, 0.0050 mmol), and  $PCy_3$  (0.0035 g, 0.012 mmol) in toluene (10 mL) was heated to 100 °C for 37 h. Following an extractive workup, radial chromatography (5:1 petroleum ether/Et<sub>2</sub>O) afforded, in order of elution, recovered 15 (0.0218 g, 31% recovery), and 16 (0.0493 g, 53% yield) as a viscous oil: IR (KBr)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  2936, 1730, 1605 cm<sup>-</sup> <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  8.22 (d, J = 1.8, 1H), 8.00 (dd, J = 8.1, 1.8, 1H), 7.41 (d, J = 8.1, 1H), 7.01 (d, J = 8.5, 1H), 6.72 (d, J = 8.5, 1H), 4.20(s, 2H), 3.94 (s, 3H), 3.92 (s, 3H), 3.91 (s, 3H), 3.66 (s, 3H), 3.23 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR 166.4, 153.8. 151.4, 145.3, 142.1, 133.7, 130.6, 128.9, 126.6, 125.2, 122.9, 106.7, 88.2, 85.2, 61.2, 61.0, 60.2, 57.2, 56.1, 52.2; MS *m/e* 370 (M<sup>+</sup>); HRMS for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>22</sub>O<sub>6</sub> (M<sup>+</sup>) calcd 370.1416, found 370.1416.

A solution of potassium *tert*-butoxide (0.0351 g, 0.314 mmol) and (1,3-diisopropylimiazol-2-ylidene)(3-chloropyridyl)palladium-(II) dichloride (0.0014 g, 1 mol %) in isopropanol (1 mL) was stirred for 10 min. To this solution was added 2,3,4-trimethoxy-phenylboronic acid (**10a**) (0.0975 g, 0.460 mmol) and **15** (0.0590 g, 0.209 mmol). After stirring for 12 h, diethyl ether was added, and reaction was subjected to a conventional extractive workup (Et<sub>2</sub>O). Preparative TLC (5:1 petroleum ether/Et<sub>2</sub>O) afforded **16** (0.0612 g, 79% yield).

Hexacarbonyl[ $\mu$ - $\eta$ <sup>4</sup>-(3-acetoxy-(2',3',4,4'-tetramethoxybiphenyl-2-yl)-1-propyne)]dicobalt (8a). General Procedure B. To alcohol 13a (0.2875 g, 0.876 mmol) at 0 °C were added acetic anhydride (1 mL) and pyridine (1 mL). The solution was stirred 4 h, as the solution came to room temperature. The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure, and the residue was dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (25 mL). An unweighed amount of octacarbonyldicobalt (excess) was added, and the mixture was stirred 4 h. After concentration under reduced pressure, flash chromatography (100% petroleum ether  $\rightarrow$  5:1 petroleum ether/Et<sub>2</sub>O) gave acetate complex **8a** (0.4952 g, 86% yield) as a red-brown solid: mp 122–124 °C ; IR (KBr)  $\nu_{max}$  2919, 2090, 2050, 2018, 1743 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  7.30 (d, J = 2.5, 1H), 7.00 (d, J = 8.5, 1H), 6.88 (dd, J = 8.5, 2.5, 1H), 6.80 (d, J = 8.5, 1H), 6.73 (d, J = 8.5, 1H), 4.58 (d, J = 15.0, 1H), 3.97 (d, J = 15.0, 1H), 3.96 (s, 3H), 3.93 (s, 3H), 3.86 (s, 3H), 3.59 (s, 3H), 2.02 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR 199.4, 199.3, 170.3, 159.2, 153.9, 151.2, 142.4, 137.6, 131.8, 129.4, 128.2, 125.4, 118.0, 114.5, 107.3, 92.5, 88.7, 64.4, 60.7, 60.4, 56.2, 55.0, 20.2; MS *m/e* 600 (M<sup>+</sup> - 2CO), 572 (M<sup>+</sup> - 3CO), 488 (M<sup>+</sup> - 6CO). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>22</sub>Co<sub>2</sub>O<sub>12</sub> C, 49.41; H, 3.38. Found: C, 49.68; H, 3.38.

**Hexacarbonyl**[*μ*−*η*<sup>4</sup>-(3-acetoxy-(2',3',4'-trimethoxybiphenyl-2-yl)-1-propyne]dicobalt (8b). Subjecting 13b (0.1214 g, 0.407 mmol) to General Procedure B afforded 18b (0.2140 g, 84% yield) following flash chromatographic purification (10:1 → 4:1 petroleum ether/Et<sub>2</sub>O), as a red-brown solid: mp 108–110 °C; IR (KBr)  $\nu_{max}$  2938, 2090, 2052, 2022, 1745 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup> H NMR δ 7.76 (d, *J*=7.8, 1H), 7.40 (apparent t, *J*=7.4, 1H), 7.31 (apparent t, *J*=7.3, 1H), 7.11 (d, *J* = 7.5, 1H), 6.82 (d, *J* = 8.4, 1H), 6.75 (d, *J*=8.4, 1H), 4.59 (d, *J*=14.5, 1H), 3.98 (d, *J*=14.5, 1H), 3.98 (s, 3H), 3.94 (s, 3H), 3.60 (s, 3H), 2.03 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR 199.3, 170.4, 154.1, 151.5, 142.6, 137.1, 136.6, 134.3, 130.9, 128.6, 128.1, 127.4, 125.0, 107.5, 92.6, 88.6, 64.6, 60.8, 60.5, 56.4. 20.2 ; MS *m/e* 570 (M<sup>+</sup> – 2CO), 542 (M<sup>+</sup> – 3CO), 458 (M<sup>+</sup> – 6CO); HRMS for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>20</sub>Co<sub>2</sub>O<sub>11</sub> calcd 597.9720 (M<sup>+</sup> – CO), found 597.9741.

Hexacarbonyl[μ-η<sup>4</sup>-(3-acetoxy-(3-methoxy-3',5'-dimethylbiphenyl-2-yl)-1-propyne]dicobalt (8c). Subjecting 13c (0.0608 g, 0.228 mmol) to General Procedure B afforded acetate complex 8c (0.1232 g, 91% yield) of acetate complex (91% yield) following flash chromatography (10:1 petroleum ether/Et<sub>2</sub>O), as a red-brown solid: mp 250 °C (dec); IR (KBr)  $\nu_{max}$  2961, 2090, 2058, 1998, 1748 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup> H NMR δ 7.28 (d, J = 2.7, 1H), 7.08 (s, 1H), 7.04 (d, J = 8.4, 1H), 6.89 (dd, J = 8.4, 2.7, 1H), 6.86 (s, 2H), 4.02 (s, 2H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 2.37 (s, 6H), 2.04 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR 199.4, 170.4, 159.2, 141.6, 138.2, 136.4, 134.2, 131.1, 129.2, 127.4, 118.0, 114.6, 93.3, 88.9, 64.2, 55.2, 21.1, 20.3; MS *m/e* 566 (M<sup>+</sup> - CO), 510 (M<sup>+</sup> - 3CO), 426 (M<sup>+</sup> - 6CO); HRMS *m/e* for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>20</sub>Co<sub>2</sub>O<sub>9</sub> calcd 565.9822 (M<sup>+</sup> - CO), found 565.9811.

Hexacarbonyl[ $\mu$ -η<sup>4</sup>-(3-acetoxy-1-(5-methoxy-2-(3-thienyl)phenyl)-1-propyne]dicobalt (8d). Subjecting 13d (0.0418 g, 0.171 mmol) to General Procedure B gave acetate complex 8d (0.0756, 77% yield) following flash chromatography (10:1 petroleum ether/ Et<sub>2</sub>O), as a red-brown solid: mp 104–105 °C; IR (KBr)  $\nu_{max}$  2941, 2090, 2055, 2021, 1745 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup> H NMR δ 7.46 (dd, J = 4.7, 3.1, 1H), 7.28 (d, J = 2.6, 1H), 7.15 (m, 1H), 7.06 (d, J = 8.4, 1H), 7.02 (d, J = 4.7, 1H), 6.88 (dd, J = 8.4, 2.6, 1H), 4.30 (s, 2H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 2.05 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR 199.3, 170.5, 159.6, 141.9, 137.2, 131.8, 130.1, 128.3, 126.1, 123.8, 118.3, 114.7, 93.1, 88.4, 63.9, 55.2, 20.3; MS *m/e* 544 (M<sup>+</sup> – CO), 488 (M<sup>+</sup> – 3CO), 404 (M<sup>+</sup> – 6CO); HRMS *m/e* for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>14</sub>Co<sub>2</sub>O<sub>9</sub>S calcd 543.9073 (M<sup>+</sup> – CO), found 543.9078.

Hexacarbonyl[ $\mu$ -η<sup>4</sup>-(3-acetoxy-(,3',4,4',5'-tetramethoxybiphenyl-2-yl)-1-propyne)]dicobalt (8e). Subjecting 13e (0.2809 g, 0.855 mmol) to General Procedure B afforded 8e (0.4722 g, 84% yield) following flash chromatographic purification (3:1 petroleum ether/Et<sub>2</sub>O), as a red-brown solid: mp 126–128 °C; IR (KBr)  $\nu_{max}$  2938, 2090, 2010, 1974, 1748 cm<sup>-1</sup>; 1H NMR δ 7.27 (d, J = 2.6, 1H), 7.05 (d, J = 8.4, 1H), 6.89 (dd, J = 8.4, 2.6, 1H), 6.42 (s, 2H), 4.22 (s, 2H), 3.93 (s, 3H), 3.86 (s, 3H), 3.82 (s, 6H), 2.02 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR 199.3, 170.3, 159.4, 153.2, 137.7, 137.3, 136.4, 133.6, 131.2, 117.7, 114.7, 106.9, 93.1, 88.3, 63.9, 61.1, 56.1, 55.1, 20.2; MS *m/e* 628 (M<sup>+</sup> – CO), 600 (M<sup>+</sup> – 2CO), 572 (M<sup>+</sup> – 3CO), 544 (M<sup>+</sup> – 4CO), 516 (M<sup>+</sup> – 5CO), 488 (M<sup>+</sup> – 6CO); HRMS for  $C_{27}H_{22}Co_2O_{12}$  calcd (M<sup>+</sup> – CO) 627.9826, found 627.9802.

Hexacarbonyl[μ-η<sup>4</sup>-(3-acetoxy-(2',3,3',4,4'-pentamethoxybiphenyl-2-yl)-1-propyne)]dicobalt (8f). Subjecting 13f (0.5231 g, 1.46 mmol) to General Procedure B afforded 8f (0.9818 g, 98% yield) following flash chromatographic purification (4:1 petroleum ether/Et<sub>2</sub>O), as a red-brown solid: mp 120–121 °C; IR (KBr)  $\nu_{max}$  3002, 2939, 2088, 2009, 1743 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR δ 6.95 (d, J = 8.4, 1H), 6.80 (d, J = 8.4, 1H), 6.73 (d, J = 8.4, 1H), 6.71 (d, J = 8.4, 1H), 4.53 (d, J = 14.5, 1H), 4.07 (s, 3H), 3.95 (s, 3H), 3.92 (s, 3H), 3.90 (s, 3H), 3.83 (d, J = 14.5, 1H), 3.61 (s, 3H), 2.00 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR 199.8, 170.4, 153.9, 151.6, 151.4, 149.5, 142.4, 131.3, 128.84, 128.79, 125.6, 125.4, 112.2, 107.2, 94.3, 81.0, 65.4, 60.6, 60.3, 59.9, 56.2, 55.7, 20.2; MS m/e 630 (M<sup>+</sup>-2CO), 602 (M<sup>+</sup> – 3CO), 518 (M<sup>+</sup> – 6CO), 400 (M<sup>+</sup> – Co<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>6</sub>); HRMS for C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>24</sub>Co<sub>2</sub>O<sub>13</sub> (M<sup>+</sup> – 2CO) calcd 629.9997, found 629.9995.

Hexacarbonyl[μ-η<sup>4</sup>-(3-acetoxy-1-biphenyl-2-yl)-1-propyne]dicobalt (8g). Subjecting 13g (0.0799 g, 0.384 mmol) to General Procedure B gave acetate complex 8g (0.1763 g, 86% yield) following flash chromatography (50:1 petroleum ether/Et<sub>2</sub>O), as a red-brown oil which gradually solidified: mp 99–101 °C; IR (KBr)  $\nu_{max}$  3073, 2977, 2087, 2055, 2006, 1749 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup> H NMR δ 7.77 (d, J = 7.8, 1H), 7.45–7.52 (m, 3H), 7.42 (apparent t,  $J_{ave} = 7.6$ , 1H), 7.34 (apparent t,  $J_{ave} = 7.3$ , 1H), 7.26–7.30 (m, 2H), 7.14 (d, J =7.8, 1H), 4.02 (s, 2H), 2.02 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR 199.3, 170.4, 142.2, 141.2, 135.3, 134.3, 130.2, 129.3, 128.6, 128.3, 128.0, 127.6, 93.1, 88.4, 64.1, 20.3; MS *m/e* 480 (M<sup>+</sup> – 2CO), 452 (M<sup>+</sup> – 3CO), 396 (M<sup>+</sup> – 5CO), 368 (M<sup>+</sup> – 6CO). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>14</sub>Co<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub> C, 51.52; H, 2.63. Found C, 51.75; H, 2.51.

Hexacarbonyl[ $\mu$ - $\eta^4$ -(3-methoxy-(4-carbomethoxy-2',3',4'-trimethoxybiphenyl-2-yl)-1-propyne)]dicobalt (8h). To a solution of 16 (0.1223 g, 0.330 mmol) in  $CH_2Cl_2$  at 0 °C was added octacarbonyldicobalt (excess). The cooling bath was removed, and the mixture was allowed to stir for 2 h. After concentration under reduced pressure, flash chromatography (3:1 petroleum ether/Et<sub>2</sub>O) afforded 8h (0.1797 g, 83% yield) as a red-brown solid: mp 143–144 °C; IR (KBr) v<sub>max</sub> 2956, 2091, 2039, 2009, 1726 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  8.45 (d, J = 1.7, 1H), 7.93 (dd, J = 7.9, 11.7, 1H), 7.18 (d, J=7.9, 1H), 6.80 (1/2 AB quartet, J=8.5, 1H), 6.74 (1/2 AB quartet, J=8.5, 1H), 3.97 (s, 3H), 3.96 (s, 3H), 3.94 (s, 3H), 3.87 (d, J = 13.4, 1H), 3.59 (s, 3H), 3.30 (s, 3H), 3.25 (d, 3H), 3.25 (d,J = 13.4, 1H; <sup>13</sup>C NMR 199.4, 166.6, 154.0, 151.3, 142.4, 141.3, 137.8, 135.7, 131.2, 130.1, 128.1, 127.8, 124.9, 107.1, 95.7, 86.0, 71.7, 60.8, 60.6, 58.6, 56.3, 52.3; MS *m*/*e* 572 (M<sup>+</sup> - 3CO), 516  $(M^+ - 5CO)$ ; HRMS for  $C_{27}H_{22}Co_2O_{12}$  calcd  $(M^+ - 3CO)$ 571.9928, found 571.9924.

Hexacarbonyl[ $\mu$ - $\eta^4$ -(1,2,3,9-tetramethoxy-5*H*-dibenzo[*a*,*c*]cycloheptyne]dicobalt (9a). General Procedure C. To a solution of 8a (0.1193 g, 0.182 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (35 mL) at 0 °C was added diisopropylethylamine (48  $\mu$ L, 1.5 equiv) and BF<sub>3</sub>-OEt<sub>2</sub> (69  $\mu$ L, 3.0 equiv). The cooling bath was removed, and the reaction was allowed to stir for 6 h, at which time consumption of starting material was complete. Following an extractive workup, flash chromatography (5:1 petroleum ether/Et<sub>2</sub>O) afforded 9a (0.0766 g, 71% yield), as a red-brown oil which gradually solidified: mp 117-119 °C; IR (KBr) v<sub>max</sub> 2939, 2090, 2020  $cm^{-1}$ ; <sup>1</sup> H NMR  $\delta$  7.57 (d, J=8.5, 1H), 7.21 (d, J=3.0, 1H), 6.92 (dd, J=8.5, 2.5, 1H), 6.65 (s, 1H), 4.01 (d, J=14.0, 1H), 3.91 (s, 3H), 3.90 (s, 3H), 3.82 (s, 3H), 3.63 (d, J = 14.0, 1H) 3.38 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR 199.3, 198.7, 158.9, 152.9, 152.2, 142.3, 138.9, 137.2, 133.7, 126.1, 124.8, 116.5, 112.0, 107.7, 105.4, 93.0, 60.9, 60.2, 56.0, 55.1, 39.8; MS *m/e* 596 (M<sup>+</sup>), 540 (M<sup>+</sup> – 2CO), 512 (M<sup>+</sup> – 3CO), 484 (M<sup>+</sup> – 4CO); HRMS m/e for C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>18</sub>Co<sub>2</sub>O<sub>10</sub> calcd  $539.9666 (M^+ - 2CO)$ , found 539.9642.

Hexacarbonyl[ $\mu$ - $\eta$ <sup>4</sup>-(1,2,3-Trimethoxy-5*H*-dibenzo[*a*,*c*]cycloheptyne]dicobalt (9b). Subjecting acetate complex **8b** (0.0848 g,

0.135 mmol) to General Procedure C (16 h), followed by flash chromatography (5:1 petroleum ether/Et<sub>2</sub>O) afforded **9b** (0.0452 g, 59% yield, 66% yield based on recovered starting material) followed by recovered **8b** (0.0085 g, 10% recovery). **9b**: red-brown solid, mp 116–118 °C; IR (KBr)  $\nu_{max}$  3057, 2934, 2090, 2051 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup> H NMR  $\delta$  7.69 (dd, J=7.4, 1.3, 1H), 7.63 (dd, J = 7.6, 1.3, 1H), 7.38 (apparent dt, J = 1.3, 7.4, 1H), 7.35 (apparent dt, J=1.3, 7.6, 1H), 6.67 (s, 1H), 4.03 (d, J=14.0, 1H), 3.94 (s, 3H), 3.84 (s, 3H), 3.66 (d, J = 14.0, 1H), 3.39 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR 198.9 (br), 153.1, 152.7, 142.5, 137.7, 137.5, 132.6, 132.4, 132.0, 127.8, 126.4, 126.2, 107.9, 105.7, 92.9, 61.0, 60.4, 56.1, 40.0; MS *m*/*e* 538 (M<sup>+</sup> – 1CO), 510 (M<sup>+</sup> – 2CO), 482 (M<sup>+</sup> – 3CO), 454 (M<sup>+</sup> – 4CO), 426 (M<sup>+</sup> – 5CO), 398 (M<sup>+</sup> – 6CO); HRMS *m*/*e* for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>16</sub>Co<sub>2</sub>O<sub>9</sub> calcd 537.9509 (M<sup>+</sup> – CO), found 537.9492 .

Hexacarbonyl[μ-η<sup>4</sup>-(9-methoxy-2,4-dimethyl-5*H*-dibenzo[*a*, *c*]cycloheptyne]dicobalt (9c). Subjecting acetate complex 8c (0.1232 g, 0.207 mmol) to General Procedure C (6 h) gave 9c (0.0938 g, 85% yield), following flash chromatography (50:1 petroleum ether/Et<sub>2</sub>O), as a brown solid: mp 142–144 °C; IR (KBr)  $\nu_{max}$  2924, 2090, 2055, 2025 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup> H NMR (-30 °C) δ 7.54 (d, *J* = 8.5, 1H), 7.21 (d, *J* = 3.0, 1H), 6.97 - 7.01 (m, 3H), 4.49 (d, *J* = 14.0, 1H), 3.93 (s, 3H), 3.34 (d, *J* = 14.0, 1H), 2.50 (s, 3H), 2.29 (s, 3H) ; <sup>13</sup>C NMR 199.1, 159.4, 140.6, 139.0, 136.20, 136.15, 134.1, 132.2, 132.0, 131.7, 130.0, 116.7, 113.5, 105.2, 92.7, 55.4, 32.9, 21.0, 20.9; MS *m/e* 534 (M<sup>+</sup>), 450 (M<sup>+</sup> – 3CO), 394 (M<sup>+</sup> – 5CO); HRMS *m/e* for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>16</sub>Co<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub> calcd 533.9560 (M<sup>+</sup>), found 533.9569.

Hexacarbonyl[ $\mu$ - $\eta^4$ -(8-methoxy-4*H*-benzo[3,4]cycloheptyne-[1,2-b]thiophene]dicobalt (9d) and Hexacarbonyl[ $\mu$ - $\eta^4$ -(8-methoxy-4*H*-benzo[3,4]cycloheptyne[1,2-*c*]thiophene]dicobalt (9d'). Subjecting 8d (0.0654 g, 0.114 mmol) to General Procedure C (5 h) afforded 9d/9d' (0.0494 g, 82% yield) following flash chromatography, as an inseparable 45:55 mixture, as a redbrown viscous oil: IR (KBr)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  2937, 2091, 2067, 2045, 1603 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup> H NMR: for **9d**  $\delta$  7.53 (d, J=8.5, 1H), 7.24 (d, J=2.8, 1H), 7.18 (d, J = 5.3, 1H), 7.13 (d, J = 5.3, 1H), 6.95 (dd, J = 8.3, 2.8, 1H),4.25 (s, 2H), 3.900 (s, 3H); for **9d**'  $\delta$  7.55 (d, J = 8.5, 1H), 7.28 (d, J = 3.1, 1H, 7.19 (d, J = 2.8, 1H), 7.14 (obscured d, 1H), 6.93  $(dd, J = 8.3, 2.8 \text{ IH}), 4.17 (s, 2H), 3.897 (s, 3H); {}^{13}C \text{ NMR}$ 199.0, 159.6, 159.1, 141.3, 139.5, 138.0, 137.2, 136.9, 135.7, 131.1, 130.2, 129.9, 127.1, 127.0, 125.4, 121.9, 121.5, 117.3, 117.1, 114.0, 113.8, 100.9, 100.4, 92.5, 55.4, 34.8, 33.3; MS m/e 512 (M<sup>+</sup>), 455 (M<sup>+</sup> – 2CO), 399 (M<sup>+</sup> – 4CO); HRMS m/e for  $C_{20}H_{10}Co_2O_7S$  calcd 511.8811 (M<sup>+</sup>), found 511.8808.

Hexacarbonyl[μ-η<sup>4</sup>-(2,3,4,9-tetramethoxy-5*H*-dibenzo[*a*,*c*]cycloheptyne]dicobalt (9e). Subjecting (8e) (0.6621 g, 1.01 mmol) to General Procedure C (4.5 h) afforded 9e (0.5457 g, 91% yield) following flash chromatography (7:1 petroleum ether/Et<sub>2</sub>O), as a red-brown solid: mp 138–140 °C; IR (KBr)  $\nu_{max}$  2938, 2091, 2051, 2023, 1601 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR δ 7.47 (d, *J* = 8.7, 1H), 7.22 (d, *J* = 2.7, 1H), 6.98 (dd, *J* = 8.7, 2.7, 1H), 6.67 (s, 1H), 4.03 (v br, 2H), 3.95 (s, 3H), 3.91 (s, 6H), 3.84 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR 199.1, 159.5, 152.0, 150.1, 141.7, 138.9, 136.1, 131.35, 131.29, 127.1, 116.8, 113.6, 112.7, 105.6, 92.6, 61.4, 60.9, 56.2, 55.4, 29.4; MS *m/e* 596 (M<sup>+</sup>), 568 (M<sup>+</sup> – CO), 540 (M<sup>+</sup> – 2CO), 512 (M<sup>+</sup> – 3CO), 484 (M<sup>+</sup> – 4CO), 456 (M<sup>+</sup> – 5CO); HRMS for C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>18</sub>Co<sub>2</sub>O<sub>10</sub> (M<sup>+</sup>) calcd 595.9564, found 595.9548.

Hexacarbonyl[ $\mu$ - $\eta^4$ -(1,2,3,8,9-pentamethoxy-5*H*-dibenzo[*a*,*c*]cycloheptyne]dicobalt (9f). Subjecting (8f) (0.9250 g, 1.35 mmol) to General Procedure C (4.5 h) afforded 9f (0.7021 g, 83% yield) following flash chromatography (5:1 hexanes/Et<sub>2</sub>O), as a dark brown solid: mp 115–117 °C; IR (KBr)  $\nu_{max}$  2939, 2090, 2054, 1593 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  7.30 (d, J = 8.7, 1H), 6.97 (d, J = 8.7, 1H), 6.63 (s, 1H), 3.99 (d, J = 14.0, 1H), 3.99 (s, 3H), 3.95 (s, 3H), 3.92 (s, 3H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.67 (d, J = 14.0, 1H), 3.40 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR 199.5, 153.1, 152.3, 152.0, 148.4, 142.3, 137.3, 132.2, 128.3, 126.3, 125.9, 110.6, 107.5, 107.3, 85.8, 61.0, 60.7,

60.4, 56.1, 55.8, 40.3; MS m/e 626 (M<sup>+</sup>), 598 (M<sup>+</sup> – CO), 570 (M<sup>+</sup> – 2CO), 542 (M<sup>+</sup> – 3CO), 514 (M<sup>+</sup> – 4CO), 486 (M<sup>+</sup> – 5CO), 458 (M<sup>+</sup> – 6CO); HRMS for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>20</sub>Co<sub>2</sub>O<sub>11</sub> (M<sup>+</sup>) calcd 625.9670, found 625.9673.

Hexacarbonyl[ $\mu$ -η<sup>4</sup>-(5*H*-dibenzo[*a,c*]cycloheptyne]dicobalt (9g). Subjecting 8g (0.0604 g, 0.127 mmol) to General Procedure C (16 h) afforded 9g (0.0311, 58% yield) following flash chromatography (100% petroleum ether), as a dark brown solid: mp 130–132 °C; IR (KBr)  $\nu_{max}$  3059, 2959, 1688, 2091, 2052, 2022 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup> H NMR δ 7.71 (m, 1H), 7.59 (s, 1H), 7.40–7.47 (m, 3H), 7.28–7.36 (m, 3H), 4.05 (s, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR 199.0, 140.4, 140.2, 138.5, 137.3, 133.2, 132.1, 130.4, 128.6, 128.3, 127.92, 127.86, 127.5, 104.2, 92.0, 39.9; MS *m/e* 420 (M<sup>+</sup> – 2CO), 336 (M<sup>+</sup> – 5CO), 308 (M<sup>+</sup> – 6CO); HRMS *m/e* for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>10</sub>Co<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub> calcd 447.9192 (M<sup>+</sup> – CO), found 447.9214.

Hexacarbonyl[μ-η<sup>4</sup>-(9-carbomethoxy-1,2,3-trimethoxy-5*H*dibenzo[*a*,*c*]cycloheptyne]dicobalt (9h). Subjecting (8h) (0.2304 g, 0.351 mmol) to General Procedure C (5 h) afforded 9h (0.1831 g, 84% yield) following flash chromatography (5:1 petroleum ether/Et<sub>2</sub>O), as a dark brown viscous oil: IR (KBr)  $v_{max}$  2952, 2092, 2055, 2023, 1730 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR δ 8.30 (d, *J* = 1.9, 1H), 7.98 (dd, *J* = 8.2, 1.9, 1H), 7.69 (d, *J* = 8.2, 1H), 6.67 (s, 1H), 4.05 (d, *J* = 14.1, 1H), 3.98 (s, 3H), 3.95 (s, 3H), 3.84 (s, 3H), 3.65 (d, *J* = 14.1, 1H), 3.39 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR 198.7, 166.7, 153.24, 153.15, 142.4, 138.3, 137.9, 137.0, 132.9, 129.4, 126.8, 125.5, 107.9, 105.4, 91.4, 61.1, 60.6, 56.1, 52.3, 39.9; MS *m/e* 596 (M<sup>+</sup>-1CO), 568 (M<sup>+</sup>-2CO), 540 (M<sup>+</sup> - 3CO), 512 (M<sup>+</sup>-4CO), 484 (M<sup>+</sup>-5CO);); HRMS for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>18</sub>Co<sub>2</sub>O<sub>11</sub> (M<sup>+</sup> - 3CO) calcd 539.9666, found 539.9683.

**1,2,3,9-Tetramethoxy-5***H***-dibenzo**[*a*,*c*]**cycloheptene** (**17a**). To a solution of **9a** (0.0782 g, 0.131 mmol) in degassed 1,2-dichloroethane (2 mL) was added bis(trimethylsilyl)acetylene ( $62 \mu$ L, 0.274 mmol) and triethylsilane (0.10 mL, 0.63 mmol). The mixture was heated to 65 °C for 6 h and cooled to room temperature, at which point trifluoroacetic acid (0.5 mL) was added. After stirring for an additional 12 h, the mixture was subjected to a conventional extractive workup. Preparative TLC (4:1 hexanes/Et<sub>2</sub>O) afforded **17a** (0.0396 g, 97% yield) as a colorless solid: mp 102–3 °C (MeOH), lit.<sup>29</sup> 102–3 °C (MeOH).

2,3,4,9-Tetramethoxy-5H-dibenzo[a,c]cycloheptene (17e). To a solution of 9e (0.3492 g, 0.586 mmol) in degassed 1,2-dichloroethane (20 mL) were added bis(trimethylsilyl)acetylene (0.27 mL, 1.2 mmol) and triethylsilane (0.47 mL, 2.9 mmol). The mixture was heated to 65 °C for 6 h and cooled to room temperature, at which point trifluoroacetic acid (1.0 mL) was added. After stirring for an additional 12 h, the mixture was subjected to a conventional extractive workup. Preparative TLC (10:1 petroleum ether/ $Et_2O$ ) afforded 17e (0.1718 g, 94% yield) as a colorless viscous oil: IR (KBr)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  2936, 1604 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  7.61 (d, J = 8.7, 1H), 6.95 (dd, J = 8.7, 2.6, 1H), 6.85 (d, J = 2.6, 1H), 6.82 (s, 1H), 6.57 (d, J = 10.0, 1H), 6.26 (m, 1H), 3.94 (s, 3H), 3.93 (s, 3H), 3.90 (s, 3H), 3.89 (s, 3H), 2.47 (v br, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR 158.2, 151.1, 148.9, 141.9, 137.4, 134.3, 132.8, 132.3, 130.7, 129.6, 128.3, 113.4, 112.9, 109.1, 61.5, 60.9, 56.2, 55.3, 24.0; MS m/e 312 (M<sup>+</sup>); HRMS for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>20</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (M<sup>+</sup>) calcd 312.1362, found 312.1356.

**1,2,3,8,9-Pentamethoxy-5***H***-dibenzo[***a***,***c***]cycloheptene (17f). To a solution of <b>9f** (0.0945 g, 0.151 mmol) in degassed 1,2dichloroethane (2 mL) were added bis(trimethylsilyl)acetylene ( $62\,\mu$ L, 0.32 mmol) and triethylsilane (0.12 mL, 0.76 mmol). The mixture was heated to 65 °C for 6 h and cooled to room temperature, at which point trifluoroacetic acid (0.5 mL) was added. After stirring for an additional 12 h, the mixture was subjected to a conventional extractive workup. Preparative TLC (7:1 petroleum ether/Et<sub>2</sub>O) afforded **17f** (0.0465 g, 90% yield) as a colorless solid: mp 163–165 °C; IR (KBr)  $\nu_{max}$  3036, 2926,



1573 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  7.55 (d, J = 8.7, 1H), 6.94 (d, J = 8.7, 1H), 6.78 (d, J = 10.1, 1H), 6.58 (s, 1H), 6.29 (m, 1H), 3.95 (s, 3H), 3.91 (s, 3H), 3.90 (s, 3H), 3.85 (s, 3H), 3.48 (s, 3H), 3.06 (dd, J = 12.9, 7.9, 1H), 2.80 (ddd, J = 12.9, 5.9, 1.8, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR 152.3, 150.4, 145.2, 140.7, 140.1, 132.2, 131.1, 128.3, 127.5, 124.0, 123.8, 109.9, 105.6, 61.0, 60.6, 60.4, 55.9, 55.8, 33.5; MS m/e 342 (M<sup>+</sup>); HRMS for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>22</sub>O<sub>5</sub> calcd 342.1467, found 342.1475.

9-Carbomethoxy-1,2,3-trimethoxy-5*H*-dibenzo[*a*,*c*]cycloheptene (17h). To a solution of 9h (0.1831 g, 0.293 mmol) in degassed 1, 2-dichloroethane (7 mL) was added bis(trimethylsilyl)acetylene (0.14 mL, 0.59 mmol) and triethylsilane (0.23 mL, 0.14 mmol). The mixture was heated to 65 °C for 6 h and cooled to room temperature, at which point trifluoroacetic acid (3.0 mL) was added. After stirring for an additional 12 h, the mixture was subjected to a conventional extractive workup. Preparative TLC (5:1 hexanes/Et<sub>2</sub>O) afforded 17h (0.0789 g, 79% yield) as a colorless solid: mp 85-86 °C; IR (KBr) v<sub>max</sub> 2950, 1722, 1594 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  8.03 (s, 1H), 7.91 (1/2 ÅB quartet, J = 8.3, 1H), 7.89 (1/2 AB quartet, J = 8.3, 1H), 6.62 (d, J = 10.0, 1H), 6.59 (s, 1H), 6.29 (m, 1H), 3.96 (s, 3H), 3.92 (s, 3H), 3.90 (s, 3H), 3.46 (s, 3H), 3.08 (m, 1H), 2.75 (m, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR 167.0, 153.3, 152.3, 140.8, 140.5, 139.4, 136.5, 133.2, 132.0, 130.1, 128.8, 127.6, 125.4, 123.3, 105.8, 61.1, 60.7, 55.9, 52.1, 33.3; MS m/e 340 (M<sup>+</sup>); HRMS for  $C_{20}H_{20}O_5$  (M<sup>+</sup>) calcd 340.1311, found 340.1306.

6,7-Dihydro-3,9,10,11-tetramethoxy-5H-dibenzo[a,c]cyclohepten-5-one (18a). To a solution of alkene 17a (0.0521 g, 0.167 mmol) in THF (7 mL) at 0 °C was added BH3-THF (0.75 mL of a 1 M solution). The cooling bath was removed, and the reaction was stirred at room temperature for 12 h. NaOH (1 mL of a 10% aqueous solution) and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (1 mL of a 33% aqueous solution) were added, and the mixture was stirred for 4 h, followed by warming to 40 °C for 0.5 h. A conventional workup gave a crude alcohol which was added slowly as a solution in  $CH_2Cl_2$  to a -78 °C solution prepared from the addition of DMSO (0.14 mL, 2.0 mmol) to oxalyl chloride (86  $\mu$ L, 1.0 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (10 mL) at -78 °C. Diisopropylethylamine (0.70 mL, 4.0 mmol) was added, and the solution was allowed to come to room temperature over 6 h. A conventional workup gave a residue with a 96:4 mixture of ketone regioisomers (by integration of relevant <sup>1</sup>H NMR resonances); preparative TLC (1:1 hexanes/Et<sub>2</sub>O) afford **18a** (0.0440, 80% yield) as a colorless solid: mp 141-2 °C (hexanes), lit. 142-3 °C (MeOH), <sup>5a</sup> 140.5-141 °C, <sup>30</sup> 135-6 °C. <sup>11</sup>

6,7-Dihydro-3,8,9,10-tetramethoxy-5H-dibenzo[a,c]cyclohepten-5-one. (18e). To a solution of alkene 17e (0.1793 g, 0.574 mmol) in THF (20 mL) at 0 °C was added BH3-THF (2.7 mL of a 1 M solution). The cooling bath was removed, and the reaction was stirred at room temperature for 12 h. NaOH (3 mL of a 10% aqueous solution) and  $H_2O_2$  (3 mL of a 33% aqueous solution) were added, and the mixture was stirred for 4 h, followed by warming to 40 °C for 0.5 h. A conventional workup gave a crude alcohol which was dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (20 mL). To this solution was added PDC (0.55 g), and the mixture was stirred for 12 h. A conventional workup followed by preparative TLC (3:1 petroleum ether/Et<sub>2</sub>O) afforded **18e** (0.1291 g, 68% yield) as a colorless solid: mp 123–125 °C; IR (KBr)  $\nu_{max}$  2937, 1681, 1601 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  7.36 (m, 1H), 7.14 (s, 1H), 7.13 (obscured m, 1H), 6.69 (s, 1H), 3.92 (s, 3H), 3.89 (s, 3H), 3.88 (s, 6H), 2.97–3.00 (m, 2H), 2.92–2.94 (m, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR 206.3, 158.9, 152.2, 150.1, 141.6, 139.7, 134.4, 131.4, 130.5, 125.4, 118.8, 112.1, 109.0, 61.3, 60.8, 56.0, 55.4, 47.3, 20.4; MS m/e 328 (M<sup>+</sup>); HRMS for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>20</sub>O<sub>5</sub> (M<sup>+</sup>) calcd 328.1311, found 328.1322.

**6,7-Dihydro-3,4,9,10,11-pentamethoxy-5***H***-dibenzo**[*a,c*]**cyclohepten-5-one** (**18f**). To a solution of alkene **17f** (0.2175 g, 0.636 mmol) in THF (25 mL) at 0 °C was added BH<sub>3</sub>-THF (3.0 mL of a 1 M

<sup>(30)</sup> Rapoport, H.; Williams, A. R.; Cisney, M. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1950, 72, 3324–3325.

solution). The cooling bath was removed, and the reaction was stirred at room temperature for 12 h. NaOH (3 mL of a 10% aqueous solution) and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (3 mL of a 33% aqueous solution) were added, and the mixture was stirred for 4 h, followed by warming to 40 °C for 0.5 h. A conventional workup gave a crude alcohol which was dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (20 mL). To this solution was added PDC (0.55 g), and the mixture was stirred for 12 h. A conventional workup followed by preparative TLC (3:1 petroleum ether/Et<sub>2</sub>O) afforded **18f** (0.1505 g, 67% yield) as a colorless solid: mp 164–165 °C, lit. 156–157 °C;<sup>22</sup> IR (KBr)  $\nu_{max}$  2939, 1701, 1598 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  7.26 (d, J = 8.6, 1H), 7.00 (d, J = 8.6, 1H), 6.56 (s, 1H), 3.91 (s, 3H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 3.86 (s, 6H), 3.57 (s, 3H), 2.97–3.05 (m, 2H), 2.85 (m, 1H), 2.59 (m, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR 204.8, 152.6, 151.8, 151.7, 144.3, 141.4, 135.24, 135.21, 126.24, 126.16, 123.8, 113.0, 107.5, 62.2, 61.0, 60.8, 55.9, 55.8, 49.6, 30.1; MS *m/e* 354 (M<sup>+</sup>); HRMS for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>22</sub>O<sub>6</sub> (M<sup>+</sup>) calcd 358.1416, found 358.1402.

**6,7-Dihydro-3-carbomethoxy-9,10,11-trimethoxy-5***H***-dibenzo[***a***,** *c***]cyclohepten-5-one (18h). To a solution of alkene 17h (0.0307 g, 0.0902 mmol) in THF (5 mL) at 0 °C was added BH<sub>3</sub>-THF (0.45 mL of a 1 M solution). The cooling bath was removed, and the reaction was stirred at room temperature for 12 h. NaOH (1 mL of a 10% aqueous solution) and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (1 mL of a 33% aqueous solution) were added, and the mixture was stirred for 4 h, followed by warming to 40 °C for 0.5 h. A conventional workup gave a crude alcohol which was dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (10 mL). To this solution was added PDC (0.5 g), and the mixture was stirred for 12 h. A conventional workup followed by preparative TLC (1:1 petroleum ether/Et<sub>2</sub>O) afforded <b>18h** (0.0.0260 g, 81% yield) as a colorless solid: mp 144–145 °C; lit.<sup>6</sup> 144.2–144.8 °C.

(5R)-6,7-Dihydro-3,9,10,11-tetramethoxy-5H-dibenzo[a,c]cyclohepten-5-ol (19a). A suspension of 3-nitrophenylboronic acid (0.334 g, 2.0 mmol), L-tartaric acid (0.0300 g, 2.0 mmol), and CaH<sub>2</sub> (0.168 g, 4.0 mmol) in THF (5 mL) was heated to reflux for 1 h. After cooling and the solids were allowed to settle, the supernatant solution (2.5 mL, ca. 1 mmol) was added to ketone 18a (0.0634 g, 0.193 mmol). Lithium borohydride (0.5 mL of a 2 M solution, 1.0 mmol) was added over a period of 5 min, and the solution was stirred for 0.5 h. NaOH (1 mL of a 10% aqueous solution) and water (2 mL) were added, and the reaction subjected to a conventional extractive workup. Preparative TLC (2:1 petroleum ether/Et<sub>2</sub>O) afforded **19a** (0.0610 g, 96%), 95% ee (Chiralcel OD-H, *i*-PrOH/hexanes): mp 137–9 °C (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/petroleum ether)  $[\alpha]^{22}_{D}$  120° (*c* 0.0144); <sup>1</sup> H NMR (DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  7.24 (d, J = 8.4, 1H), 7.17 (d, J = 2.8, 1H), 6.85 (dd, J = 84, 2.8, 1H), 6.75 (s, 1H), 5.23 (d, J = 4.7, 1H), 4.27 (m, 1H), 3.82 (s, 3H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.75 (s, 3H), 3.48 (s, 3H), 2.32–2.45 (m, 2H), 2.06 (m, 1H), 1.73 (m, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>) 158.3, 151.9, 150.2, 144.5, 140.4, 135.2, 130.3, 124.9, 124.0, 111.2, 108.7, 107.9, 68.1, 60.5, 60.4, 55.8, 54.9, 41.3, 29.9; HRMS m/e for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>22</sub>O<sub>5</sub> calcd 330.1467 (M<sup>+</sup>), found 330.1479.

(5*R*)-6,7-Dihydro-3,8,9,10-tetramethoxy-5*H*-dibenzo[*a*,*c*]cyclohepten-5-ol (19e). A suspension of 3-nitrophenylboronic acid (0.334 g, 2.0 mmol), L-tartaric acid (0.0300 g, 2.0 mmol), and CaH<sub>2</sub> (0.168 g, 4.0 mmol) in THF (5 mL) was heated to reflux for 1 h. After cooling and the solids were allowed to settle, the supernatant solution (2.5 mL, ca. 1 mmol) was added to ketone **18e** (0.0217 g, 0.0661 mmol). Lithium borohydride (0.2 mL of a 2 M solution, 0.4 mmol) was added over a period of 1.5 h, and the solution stirred for 0.5 h. NaOH (1 mL of a 10% aqueous solution) and water (2 mL) were added, and the reaction subjected to a conventional extractive workup. Preparative TLC (1:1 petroleum ether/Et<sub>2</sub>O) afforded **19e** (0.0213 g, 98%), 98% ee (Chiralcel AS-H, 10% *i*-PrOH/hexanes) as a viscous oil,  $[\alpha]^{22}_{D}$  102° (*c* 0.533); IR (KBr)  $\nu_{max}$  3500 br, 2936, 1646 cm<sup>-1</sup>, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO-*d*<sub>0</sub>) 7.30 (d, *J* = 8.5, 1H), 7.18 (d, *J* = 2.5, 1H), 6.91 (dd, *J* = 8.5, 2.5, 1H), 5.25 (d, *J* = 4.5, 1H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 2.86 (m, 1H), 2.38 (m, 3H), 1.75-1.86 (m, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)

159.4, 151.7, 150.8, 143.2, 141.3, 135.4, 130.3, 129.0, 125.0, 112.5, 108.7 (br), 107.9, 70.7 (br), 61.6, 61.0, 55.1, 55.4, 41.5, 21.5; MS m/e 330 (M<sup>+</sup>); HRMS for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>22</sub>O<sub>5</sub> (M<sup>+</sup>) calcd 330.1467, found 330.1481.

(5S)-5-Azido-6,7-dihydro-3,9,10,11-tetramethoxy-5H-dibenzo[a, c]cycloheptene (20a). To a suspension of alcohol 19a (0.0583 g, 0.176 mmol), Zn(N<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-(pyridine)<sub>2</sub> (0.0810 g, 0.264 mmol), and triphenylphosphine (0.185 g, 0.704 mmol) in toluene (2 mL) was added diisopropyl azodicarboxylate (0.14 mL, 0.70 mmol) in a dropwise fashion. After stirring for 4 h, the mixture was filtered through a plug of silica gel, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Preparative TLC (4:1 hexanes/Et<sub>2</sub>O) afforded 20a contaminated by 10% of alkene 17a (0.0440 g, 64% of 20a, 7% of 17a). Repeated preparative TLC (10:1 hexanes/EtOAc) afforded pure **20a** as a viscous oil,  $[\alpha]_{D}^{22}$  110° (*c* 0.0100) (93% ee material as evaluated on **2**); IR (KBr)  $\nu_{max}$  2936, 2013 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup> H NMR (major atropisomer, 91%)  $\delta$  7.42 (d, J = 8.5, 1H), 7.13 (d, J = 2.5, 1H), 6.92 (dd, J = 8.5, 2.5, 1H), 6.60 (s, 1H), 4.44 (dd, J = 11.5, 7.0,1H), 3.92 (s, 6H), 3.90 (s, 3H), 3.65 (s, 3H), 2.45–2.60 (m, 2H), 2.33 (m, 1H), 2.00 (m, 1H); resonances from the minor atropisomer (9%) could be observed at 7.42 (d, J = 8.8, 1H), 6.97 (dd, J = 8.8, 2.6, 1H), 6.81 (d, J = 2.6, 1H), 6.58 (s, 1H), 4.71 (d, J =6.8, 1H), 3.93 (s, 3H), 3.88 (s, 3H), 3.62 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR 159.0, 152.6, 150.9, 141.2, 138.6, 134.7, 131.7, 126.0, 124.3, 112.5, 109.2, 107.6, 61.1, 61.0, 60.8, 56.0, 55.3, 38.9, 30.4; MS m/e 355 (M<sup>+</sup>); HRMS m/e for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>21</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> calcd 355.1532 (M<sup>+</sup>), found 355.1541.

(S)-N-Acetyl-O-methyl-colchicinol (NSC 51046) (2). To a solution containing azide 20a with 10% alkene 17a (0.0186 g, 48.1  $\mu$ mol **20a**) in 100% EtOH saturated with H<sub>2</sub> was added Lindlar catalyst (0.0068 g). The mixture was stirred under  $H_2$  for 20 h, filtered through Celite, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> and cooled to 0 °C, and acetic anhydride (0.2 mL) and pyridine (0.2 mL) were added. The mixture was allowed to stir 12 h with gradual warming to room temperature. Concentration under reduced pressure, followed by preparative TLC (19:1 CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH) afforded **2** (0.0157 g, 88% yield), which was spectroscopically identical with authentic material,  $^{5,11}$  93% ee (Chiralcel OD-H, 10% *i*-PrOH/hexanes). A single recrystallization afforded 2 of  $\sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{j=1}^{3} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{j=1}^{3} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{$ 6.91 (d, J = 2.6, 1H), 6.87 (dd, J = 8.4, 2.6, 1H), 6.76 (s, 1H),4.52 (m, 1H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.47 (s, 3H), 2.48 (m, 1H, obscured), 2.15 (m, 1H), 2.07 (m, 1H), 1.88 (s, 3H), 1.85 (m, 1H);  ${}^{13}$ C NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ ) 168.2, 158.3, 152.1, 150.3, 141.8, 140.5, 134.7, 130.5, 126.1, 124.3, 110.7, 109.4, 108.1, 60.50, 60.45, 55.8, 54.9, 48.1, 30.1, 22.6.

(5S)-5-Azido-6,7-dihydro-3,8,9,10-tetramethoxy-5H-dibenzo-[*a*,*c*]cycloheptene (20e). To a suspension of 19e (0.0346 g, 0.105 mmol), Zn(N<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-(pyridine)<sub>2</sub> (0.0484 g, 0.157 mmol), and triphenylphosphine (0.1099 g, 0.419 mmol) in toluene (3 mL) was added diisopropyl azodicarboxylate (81  $\mu$ L, 0.42 mmol) in a dropwise fashion. After stirring for 4 h, the mixture was filtered through a plug of silica gel and concentrated under reduced pressure. Preparative TLC (4:1 hexanes/EtOAc) afforded 20e (0.0286 g, 77% yield) as a viscous oil:  $[\alpha]^{24}_{D} - 126^{\circ}$  (c 0.663, CHCl<sub>3</sub>) (95% ee material as evaluated on 21); IR (KBr)  $\nu_{max}$ 2937, 2095 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  7.31 (d, J = 8.4, 1H), 7.12 (br s, 1H), 6.94 (dd, J = 8.4, 2.7, 1H), 6.70 (s, 1H), 4.46 (m, 1H), 3.94 (s, 3H), 3.91 (s, 3H), 3.905 (s, 3H), 3.900 (s, 3H), 3.01 (br m, 1H), 2.55 (m, 1H), 2.06 (m, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) 158.8, 151.6, 150.3, 141.0, 138.0, 134.7, 130.7, 129.9, 123.4, 112.8, 110.6 (br), 108.2, 61.4, 61.2, 60.4, 55.8, 55.2, 38.1, 21.3; MS *m/e* 355 (M<sup>+</sup>); HRMS for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>21</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (M<sup>+</sup>) calcd 355.1532, found 355.1537.

(5S)-5-Acetamido-6,7-dihydro-3,8,9,10-tetramethoxy-5*H*-dibenzo-[*a*,*c*]cycloheptene (21). To a solution containing azide 20e with (0.0286 g, 80.5  $\mu$ mol) in 100% EtOH (10 mL) saturated with H<sub>2</sub> was added Lindlar catalyst (0.0109 g). The mixture was stirred under H<sub>2</sub> for 20 h, filtered through Celite, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (10 mL) and cooled to 0 °C, and acetic anhydride (0.2 mL) and pyridine (0.2 mL) were added. The mixture was allowed to stir for 12 h with gradual warming to room temperature. Concentration under reduced pressure, followed by preparative TLC (19:1 CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH) afforded **21** (0.0237 g, 79% yield) in 95% ee (Chiralcel OD-H, 10% *i*-PrOH/hexanes). A single recrystallization afforded **21** of >99% ee, as colorless crystals: mp 186–188 °C (Et<sub>2</sub>O/hexanes); [ $\alpha$ ]<sup>22</sup><sub>D</sub> –52.4° (*c* 0.783, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); IR (KBr)  $\nu_{max}$  3288 br, 2936, 1664 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) 8.41 (d, *J* = 8.4, 1H), 7.32 (d, *J* = 8.1, 1H), 6.88–6.94 (m, 2H), 6.79 (s, 1H), 4.51 (m, 1H), 3.85 (s, 3H), 3.79 (s, 6H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 2.91 (dd, *J* = 13.2, 6.0, 1H),

2.16 (m, 1H), 1.95 (m, 1H), 1.89 (s, 3H), 1.78 (m, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ ) 168.6, 158.9, 151.6, 150.4, 141.4, 140.9, 135.3, 130.9, 129.3, 123.7, 111.3, 110.1, 108.3, 61.5, 60.4, 55.9, 55.1, 48.4, 22.7, 21.9; (a resonance at 40.0 in CDCl<sub>3</sub> is obscured in DMSO- $d_6$ ); MS m/e 371 (M<sup>+</sup>); HRMS for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>25</sub>NO<sub>5</sub> (M<sup>+</sup>) calcd 371.1733, found 371.1740.

Acknowledgment. The authors are grateful to NSERC (Canada), the Canada Foundation for Innovation (CFI), and the Ontario Innovation Trust (OIT) for support of this research.

**Supporting Information Available:** <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C spectra for all new compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.